From:	Chris OMeara Dietrich <webmaster@doj.ca.gov>
Sent:	Saturday, October 17, 2015 6:13 PM
To:	PIUWebform
Subject:	[WEB FORM] GENERAL COMMENT OR QUESTION

Below is the result of the feedback form.
It was submitted by

========== DOJ USE ONLY ==========
NEW_TYPE:
========== DOJ USE ONLY ==========

TYPE: PL
First Name: Chris
Middle Initial:
Last Name: OMeara Dietrich
Address Line: 3358 Valley Forge Way
Address Line 2:
City: San Jose
State: CA
Zip: 95117
Zip4: 3664
Area Code:
Phone Number:

Comment Or Question Message: I was pleased to read your proposal for reforming the broken Prop 65 system and curbing the frivolous lawsuits brought as a result of Prop
65 violations.

Unfortunately, your plan doesn't do enough to fix the grievous problems that Prop 65, as currently written, has been generating.

You propose:
--to cap "payments in lieu of penalties," when we really need to eliminate these payments altogether --attorneys and plaintiffs be required to better define and report how they spend certain kinds of settlement payments, but there really ought to be judicial scrutiny of ALL settlements --to raise the bar for determining when a settlement confers the "significant" public benefit that is a prerequisite for obtaining attorney fees, but only a more drastic decrease in the money that goes to lawyers would eliminate incentives to file frivolous lawsuits.

These proposals do not go far enough to reduce the financial incentives for predatory trial lawyers and "bounty hunters." At the same time, California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
(OEHHA) has proposed several reforms to Prop 65 which may actually INCREASE the number of frivolous lawsuits,

To fix the current system and achieve the law's initial intent, we must dramatically and decisively address of the financial incentives that keep Prop 65 from protecting citizens.
Affirm Information Accurate: Yes

Email:
Confirm Email:
Referrer:

[End of comment or complaint information]